

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/560,665	04/27/2000	DAVID WILKINS	ANDIP597	4368	
29838	7590 12/18/2002	87.			
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP (ACCENTURE)			EXAMINER		
	MILL ROAD D, CA 94304	GEHMAN, BRYON P			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary					<i>(</i> /			
		09/560,665		WILKINS ET AL.	/			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Bryon P. Gehma		3629 orrespondence ad	dress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address \ Period for Reply								
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min will apply and will expire s cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status	Described to communication(s) filed on 06 A	Acrob 2002			÷			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>		al					
2a)☐	,—	is action is non-fi			a manita ia			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application).						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ation.					
5)[
6)⊠								
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examine	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	arrinter.						
	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			\ (d\ == (f\)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)L	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* S	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment	r(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT				
	<u> </u>		·					

Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1, 6 and 11, line 8 of each, "a profile a user" does not make sense.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGurl et al. (5,893,080) in view of Polk (5,946,669). McGurl et al. disclose a method and system for providing a network-based financial support framework comprising maintaining a database (20) including information on a received financial support payment (see col. 4, lines 4-64 and col.6, lines 14-41) utilizing a

Art Unit: 3629

network (see col. 3, lines 23-46), providing general information relating to the financial support payment (disbursement criteria, col. 4, lines 16-64) utilizing the network, allowing the calculation of a proper amount of the financial support payment (by determining the disbursement criteria for a particular payment in the database 20) and displaying a history associated with the financial support payment (in the disbursement log, see claim 6 and col. 6, lines 14-41). McGurl et al. describes a payment or disbursement of any sort. Polk discloses a payment made over a network comprising a child support payment. To employ the method and system of McGurl et al. in particular for child support payments as taught by Polk would have been obvious in order to make child support payments properly calculated on information in the database, as suggested by McGurl et al.. As to claim 6, a computer program (col. 3, lines 23-46) to operate the method and system is disclosed.

As to claims 2, 7 and 12, the internet is suggested (col. 3, lines 27-38).

As to claims 3, 8 and 13, the information specified is different. However, these differences are only found in the nonfunctional data stored in the database. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentablilty. See *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Claims 4, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claims 1, 6 and 11 above, and further in view of Kight et al. (5,873,072). Kight et al. disclose a payment history including a date and amount of a

Art Unit: 3629

payment (see col. 6, lines 30-39). To modify the history of McGurl et al. employing the teaching of Kight et al. would have been obvious in order to provide a record of the date and amount as important information, as recognized by Kight et al..

Claims 5, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claims 1, 6 and 11 above, and further in view of Ganesan et al.. Ganesan et al. disclose a financial payment method and system including providing a frequently asked questions (FAQ) portion to the database (see col. 10, lines 18-36). To modify the database of McGurl et al. employing a FAQ portion in the database as taught by Ganesan et al. would have been obvious in order to provide answers readily accessible to a user.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Landry references (5,649,117, 5956,700) each disclose network processing of financial support payments. Crooks et al. similarly discloses an internet financial payment system and method. Anderson et al. disclose a system and method where a payment is calculated based on reasonability criterion. Citibank discloses a network method and system to electronically pay a bill. "DSHS Child Support Computer System..." shows that child support handling via the computer and internet was known.

Art Unit: 3629

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiner. As the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining Tech Center, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiner. See MPEP 203.08. The Tech Center clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1113.

In receiving an Office Action, it becomes apparent that certain documents are missing, e. g. copies of references, Forms PTO 1449, PTO-892, etc., requests for copies should be directed to Tech Center 3600 Customer Service at (703) 306-5771, or e-mail CustomerService3600@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning the merits of the examination of the application should be directed to Bryon Gehman at telephone number (703) 308-3866. My work schedule is normally Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 am through 4 pm.

Should I be unavailable during my normal working hours, my supervisor John Weiss may be reached at (703) 308-2702. The FAX phone numbers for formal communications concerning this application are (703) 305-7687 and (703) 305-3597. Informal communications may be made, following a telephone call to the examiner, by an informal FAX number to be given.

Other possibly helpful telephone numbers are:

Allowed Files & Publication (703) 305-8322 Assignment Branch (703) 308-9287 (703) 305-8309 Certificates of Correction Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 305-8404/8335 Fee Questions (703) 305-5125 Intellectual Property Questions (703) 305-8217 (703) 305-9282 Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-8408 Terminal Disclaimers 1-800-786-9199 Information Help Line

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1113.

BPG December 11, 2002

Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner